Oak Ridge Reservation

Annual Site Environmental Report 2020

Cover Image

Lynn Freeny DOE Photographer

Design

Professional Project Services, Inc.

Oak Ridge Reservation Annual Site Environmental Report 2020

US Department of Energy project manager and Oak Ridge Reservation coordinator:

Katatra Vasquez

Technical coordinators:

Y-12 National Security Complex: Paula Roddy-Roche

Vicki Brumback James Donnelly Chloe Hutchison

Oak Ridge National Laboratory: Joan Hughes

Walt Doty

East Tennessee Technology Park: Mike Coffey

Roger Petrie

Project director:James J. RochelleProject coordinator:Kimberly L. Davis, PhD

Integrating editor: Kim M. Jaynes
Technical support: Ellen J. Wald

Prepared by the following for the US Department of Energy:

UT-Battelle, LLC

under Contract DE-AC05-00OR22725

Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC under Contract DE-NA0001942

UCOR, an Amentum-led partnership with Jacobs

under Contract DE-SC-0004645

Professional Project Services, Inc.

under Contract GS-00F-112CA/89243118FSC400042

This report is available online at https://doeic.science.energy.gov/aser/aser2020/index.html.

DOE contact: If you have questions or comments about the ASER documents, or wish to provide review comments or suggestions for improvement, please complete the survey at the bottom of the web page at the link above, or contact Katatra Vasquez, DOE/SC-CSC, at katatra.vasquez@science.doe.gov.

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States; UT-Battelle, LLC; Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC; UCOR, an Amentum-led partnership with Jacobs; Professional Project Services, Inc.; nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof. The sampling and monitoring results reported herein are not a comprehensive report of all sampling and analysis performed.

Contents

| Fiç | gures | ••••• | | xii |
|-----|--------|-----------|---|-------------|
| Ta | bles | ••••• | | xx |
| • | • | | | |
| | - | | breviations | |
| | | | and Conversion Factors | |
| | | • | i | |
| | | | γ | |
| EX | ecunve | Summar | у | XXXIV |
| 1 | Introd | uction to | the Oak Ridge Reservation | 1-1 |
| | 1.1. | Backgr | ound | 1-2 |
| | 1.2. | History | of the Area around the Oak Ridge Reservation | 1-2 |
| | 1.3. | Locatio | on and Description | 1-3 |
| | | 1.3.1. | Population | 1-3 |
| | | 1.3.2. | Climate | 1 - 5 |
| | | 1.3.3. | Regional Air Quality | 1-5 |
| | | 1.3.4. | Surface Water | 1-5 |
| | | 1.3.5. | Geological Setting | 1-6 |
| | | 1.3.6. | Natural, Cultural, and Historic Resources | 1 <i>-7</i> |
| | | | 1.3.6.1. Wetlands | 1 <i>-7</i> |
| | | | 1.3.6.2. Wildlife and Endangered Species | 1-8 |
| | | | 1.3.6.3. Threatened and Endangered Plants | 1-13 |
| | | | 1.3.6.4. Historical and Cultural Resources | 1-15 |
| | 1.4. | Oak Ri | idge Sites | 1-16 |
| | | 1.4.1. | Oak Ridge National Laboratory | 1-16 |
| | | 1.4.2. | Y-12 National Security Complex | 1-18 |
| | | 1.4.3. | East Tennessee Technology Park | 1-20 |
| | | 1.4.4. | Environmental Management Waste Management Facility | 1-21 |
| | | 1.4.5. | Oak Ridge National Environmental Research Park | 1-22 |
| | | 1.4.6. | Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education | 1-23 |
| | | 1.4.7. | National Nuclear Security Administration Office of Secure Transportation, | |
| | | | Agent Operations Eastern Command | |
| | | | | |
| | 15 | Doforo | ncoc | 1 25 |

| 2 | Comp | liance S | ummary and Community Involvement | 2-1 | |
|---|--------|---|--|------|--|
| | 2.1. | Laws c | and Regulations | 2-1 | |
| | 2.2. | Extern | al Oversight and Assessments | 2-1 | |
| | 2.3. | 3. Reporting of Oak Ridge Reservation Spills and Releases | | | |
| | 2.4. | Notice | s of Violations and Penalties | 2-2 | |
| | 2.5. | Commi | unity Involvement and Resources | 2-2 | |
| | | 2.5.1. | Public Comments Solicited | 2-3 | |
| | | 2.5.2. | Oak Ridge Site Specific Advisory Board | 2-3 | |
| | | 2.5.3. | DOE Information Center | 2-3 | |
| | | 2.5.4. | Other Resources | 2-3 | |
| | 2.6. | Refere | ences | 2-9 | |
| 3 | East T | ennesse | e Technology Park | 3-1 | |
| | 3.1. | Descrip | ption of Site and Operations | 3-2 | |
| | 3.2. | Enviror | nmental Management System | 3-4 | |
| | | 3.2.1. | Sustainable Environmental Stewardship | 3-5 | |
| | | 3.2.2. | Environmental Compliance | 3-8 | |
| | | 3.2.3. | Environmental Aspects/Impacts | 3-8 | |
| | | 3.2.4. | Environmental Performance Objectives and Targets | 3-8 | |
| | | 3.2.5. | Implementation and Operation | 3-9 | |
| | | 3.2.6. | Pollution Prevention/Waste Minimization/Release of Property | 3-9 | |
| | | 3.2.7. | Competence, Training, and Awareness | 3-10 | |
| | | 3.2.8. | Communication | 3-10 | |
| | | 3.2.9. | Benefits and Successes of Environmental Management System Implementation | 3-11 | |
| | | 3.2.10 |). Management Review | 3-11 | |
| | 3.3. | Compl | iance Programs and Status | 3-11 | |
| | | 3.3.1. | Environmental Permits Compliance Status | 3-12 | |
| | | 3.3.2. | National Environmental Policy Act/National Historic Preservation Act | 3-12 | |
| | | 3.3.3. | Clean Air Act Compliance Status | 3-14 | |
| | | 3.3.4. | Clean Water Act Compliance Status | 3-15 | |
| | | 3.3.5. | National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Noncompliances | 3-15 | |
| | | 3.3.6. | Safe Drinking Water Act Compliance Status | 3-15 | |
| | | 3.3.7. | Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Compliance Status | 3-15 | |
| | | 3.3.8. | Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act | 3-16 | |
| | | 3.3.9. | East Tennessee Technology Park RCRA-CERCLA Coordination | | |

| | 3.3.10 | . Toxic Sub | stances Control Act Compliance Status—Polychlorinated Biphenyls | 3-16 |
|------|-----------------------|-------------|--|------|
| | 3.3.11 | . Emergend | cy Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act Compliance Status | 3-17 |
| | | 3.3.11.1. | Chemical Inventories (EPCRA Section 312) | 3-17 |
| | | 3.3.11.2. | Toxic Chemical Release Reporting (EPCRA Section 313) | 3-17 |
| 3.4. | Quality | y Assurance | Program | 3-17 |
| 3.5. | Air Qu | ality Progr | am | 3-18 |
| | 3.5.1. | Constructi | ion and Operating Permits | 3-18 |
| | | 3.5.1.1. | Generally Applicable Permit Requirements | 3-18 |
| | | 3.5.1.2. | Fugitive Particulate Emissions | 3-19 |
| | | 3.5.1.3. | Radionuclide National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants | 3-20 |
| | | 3.5.1.4. | Quality Assurance | 3-21 |
| | | 3.5.1.5. | Greenhouse Gas Emissions | 3-21 |
| | | 3.5.1.6. | Source-Specific Criteria Pollutants | 3-24 |
| | | 3.5.1.7. | Hazardous Air Pollutants (Nonradionuclide) | 3-25 |
| | 3.5.2. | Ambient A | Air | 3-25 |
| 3.6. | Water Quality Program | | | |
| | 3.6.1. | National | Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Monitoring | 3-27 |
| | 3.6.2. | Storm W | ater Pollution Prevention Program | 3-28 |
| | | 3.6.2.1. | Radiologic Monitoring of Storm Water | 3-28 |
| | | 3.6.2.2. | Storm Water Monitoring Associated with D&D Activities | 3-28 |
| | | 3.6.2.3. | Technetium-99 Monitoring of Storm Water Associated with D&D Activities | 3-29 |
| | | 3.6.2.4. | D&D of the K-1203 Sewage Treatment Plant | 3-29 |
| | | 3.6.2.5. | D&D of Buildings K-131/K-631 | 3-30 |
| | | 3.6.2.6. | Monitoring Conducted in the Exposure Unit 19 Area | 3-30 |
| | | 3.6.2.7. | D&D of the J-Labs Complex and Building K-1023 | 3-31 |
| | | 3.6.2.8. | D&D of the K-1210/K-1220 Complex | 3-31 |
| | | 3.6.2.9. | Monitoring of Outfalls Designated in the CERCLA Phased Construction Completion Reports | 3-32 |
| | | 3.6.2.10. | Legacy Mercury Investigation Monitoring | 3-33 |
| | | 3.6.2.11. | Investigation of Powerhouse Area Outfalls | 3-36 |
| | | 3.6.2.12. | PCB Monitoring at ETTP Storm Water Outfalls | 3-37 |
| | | 3.6.2.13. | Storm Water Monitoring in the Outfall 690 Drainage Network | 3-38 |
| | | 3.6.2.14. | Chromium Water Treatment System and Plume Monitoring | 3-38 |
| | 3,6.3 | Surface V | Vater Monitorina | 3-40 |

| | | 3.6.4. | Groundw | rater Monitoring at ETTP | 3-44 |
|---|--------|------------------------|-------------------|---|------|
| | 3.7. | Biological Monitoring3 | | | |
| | | 3. <i>7</i> .1. | Task 1: B | ioaccumulation Monitoring | 3-49 |
| | | | 3. <i>7</i> .1.1. | Mitchell Branch | 3-52 |
| | | | 3. <i>7</i> .1.2. | 1007-P1 Pond | 3-57 |
| | | | 3.7.1.3. | K-901-A Pond | 3-61 |
| | | | 3.7.1.4. | K-720 Slough | 3-63 |
| | | 3.7.2. | Task 2: Ir | nstream Benthic Macroinvertebrate Communities | 3-66 |
| | | | 3.7.2.1. | Benthic Macroinvertebrates | 3-66 |
| | | | 3.7.2.2. | Mitchell Branch–ORNL and TDEC Protocols | 3-67 |
| | | | 3.7.2.3. | Comparison between Mitchell Branch and Other Reference Sites on ORR | 3-73 |
| | | 3.7.3. | Task 3: F | ish Community | 3-76 |
| | 3.8. | Environ | mental Mo | anagement and Waste Management Activities | 3-80 |
| | | 3.8.1. | Waste M | lanagement Activities | 3-80 |
| | | 3.8.2. | Environm | ental Remediation Activities | 3-81 |
| | | | 3.8.2.1. | Soil Remediation | 3-81 |
| | | | 3.8.2.2. | K-1200 Centrifuge Project Demolition Completed | 3-81 |
| | | | 3.8.2.3. | Building K-1600 Demolition Completed | 3-81 |
| | | | 3.8.2.4. | Smaller Facilities Demolition Completed | 3-82 |
| | | | 3.8.2.5. | Commemoration of the K-25 Site | 3-82 |
| | | 3.8.3. | Reindustr | ialization | 3-82 |
| | 3.9. | Refere | nces | | 3-83 |
| 4 | The Y- | 12 Natio | onal Secur | ity Complex | 4-1 |
| | 4.1. | Descrip | otion of Site | e and Operations | 4-1 |
| | | 4.1.1. | Mission | | 4-1 |
| | | 4.1.2. | Moderniz | zation | 4-2 |
| | | 4.1.3. | Productio | on Operations | 4-3 |
| | | 4.1.4. | Support I | Facilities | 4-4 |
| | | 4.1.5. | Excess Fo | acility Disposition | 4-4 |
| | 4.2. | Environ | mental Mo | anagement System | 4-5 |
| | | 4.2.1. | Integratir | ng with Integrated Safety Management System | 4-5 |
| | | 4.2.2. | Policy | | 4-5 |
| | | 4.2.3. | Planning. | | 4-7 |
| | | | 4.2.3.1. | Y-12 Environmental Aspects | 4-7 |

| | | 4.2.3.2. | Legal and Other Requirements | 4-7 | | |
|------|--------|---------------|---|------|--|--|
| | | 4.2.3.3. | Objectives, Targets, and Environmental Action Plans | 4-7 | | |
| | | 4.2.3.4. | Programs | 4-7 | | |
| | 4.2.4. | Implemer | nting and Operating | 4-9 | | |
| | | 4.2.4.1. | Roles, Responsibility, and Authority | 4-9 | | |
| | | 4.2.4.2. | Communication and Community Involvement | 4-9 | | |
| | | 4.2.4.3. | Emergency Preparedness and Response | 4-9 | | |
| | 4.2.5. | Checking | | 4-10 | | |
| | | 4.2.5.1. | Monitoring and Measuring | 4-10 | | |
| | | 4.2.5.2. | Environmental Management System Assessments | 4-10 | | |
| | 4.2.6. | Performi | ng | 4-10 | | |
| | | 4.2.6.1. | Environmental Management System Objectives and Targets | 4-11 | | |
| | | 4.2.6.2. | Sustainability and Stewardship | 4-11 | | |
| | | 4.2.6.3. | Energy Management | 4-14 | | |
| | | 4.2.6.4. | Dashboard Reporting and the Y-12 Complex Site Sustainability Plan | 4-15 | | |
| | | 4.2.6.5. | Water Conservation | 4-18 | | |
| | | 4.2.6.6. | Fleet Management | 4-18 | | |
| | | 4.2.6.7. | Electronic Stewardship | 4-19 | | |
| | | 4.2.6.8. | Greenhouse Gases | 4-19 | | |
| | | 4.2.6.9. | Storm Water Management and the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 | 4-19 | | |
| | 4.2.7. | Awarding | g and Recognizing | 4-19 | | |
| | | 4.2.7.1. | Electronic Product Environmental Assessment Tool Award | 4-20 | | |
| | | 4.2.7.2. | DOE Sustainability and National Nuclear Security Administration Excellence Awards | 4-20 | | |
| 4.3. | Compli | liance Status | | | | |
| | 4.3.1. | Environm | ental Permits | 4-20 | | |
| | 4.3.2. | National | Environmental Policy Act and National Historic Preservation Act | 4-24 | | |
| | 4.3.3. | National | Historic Preservation Act | 4-34 | | |
| | 4.3.4. | Clean Air | r Act Compliance Status | 4-35 | | |
| | 4.3.5. | Clean W | ater Act Compliance Status | 4-36 | | |
| | 4.3.6. | Safe Drir | nking Water Act Compliance Status | 4-36 | | |
| | 4.3.7. | Resource | Conservation and Recovery Act Compliance Status | 4-36 | | |
| | | 4.3.7.1. | Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Underground Storage Tanks | 4-37 | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | 4.3.7.2. | Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Subtitle D Solid Waste | 4-38 |
|------|--------|------------|--|------|
| | 4.3.8. | | Conservation and Recovery Act—Comprehensive Environmental , Compensation, and Liability Act Coordination | 4-38 |
| | 4.3.9. | - | ostances Control Act Compliance Status | |
| | | | cy Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act Compliance Status | |
| | | • | vention, Control, and Countermeasures | |
| | | - | ed Releases | |
| | | - | d Oversight | |
| | | | ical Release of Property | |
| | | • | Property Potentially Contaminated on the Surface | |
| | | | Property Potentially Contaminated in Volume (Volumetric Contamination) | |
| | | 4.3.14.3. | Process Knowledge | |
| 4.4. | Air Qu | | am | |
| | | , • | ion and Operating Permits | |
| | | | Generally Applicable Permit Requirements | |
| | | 4.4.1.2. | National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Radionuclides | |
| | | 4.4.1.3. | | |
| | | 4.4.1.4. | · | |
| | | 4.4.1.5. | Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gas Emissions under 40 Code of Federal Regulations 98 | |
| | | 4.4.1.6. | Hazardous Air Pollutants (Nonradiological) | 4-49 |
| | 4.4.2. | Ambient A | Air | 4-51 |
| | | 4.4.2.1. | Mercury | 4-51 |
| | | 4.4.2.2. | Quality Control | 4-55 |
| | | 4.4.2.3. | Ambient Air Monitoring Complementary to Y-12 Ambient Air Monitoring | 4-55 |
| 4.5. | Water | Quality Pr | ogram | 4-56 |
| | 4.5.1. | | Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit and Compliance | 4-56 |
| | 4.5.2. | | ical Monitoring Plan and Results | |
| | 4.5.3. | - | ater Pollution Prevention | |
| | 4.5.4. | Y-12 Am | bient Surface Water Quality | 4-64 |
| | 4.5.5. | | Wastewater Discharge Permit | |
| | 4.5.6. | | Assurance and Quality Control | |
| | 157 | - | Monitoring and Abatement Program | 1-68 |

| | | | 4.5.7.1. | Bioaccumulation Studies | 4-70 |
|---|-------|---------|--------------|--|-------|
| | | | 4.5.7.2. | Benthic Invertebrate Surveys | 4-73 |
| | | | 4.5.7.3. | Fish Community Monitoring | 4-75 |
| | 4.6. | Ground | dwater at | the Y-12 National Security Complex | 4-76 |
| | | 4.6.1. | Hydroge | eologic Setting | 4-77 |
| | | 4.6.2. | Well Inst | allation and Plugging and Abandonment Activities | 4-79 |
| | | 4.6.3. | Calenda | r Year 2020 Groundwater Monitoring | 4-79 |
| | | 4.6.4. | Y-12 Na | tional Security Complex Groundwater Quality | 4-81 |
| | | | 4.6.4.1. | Upper East Fork Poplar Creek Hydrogeologic Regime | 4-81 |
| | | | 4.6.4.2. | Bear Creek Hydrogeologic Regime | 4-88 |
| | | | 4.6.4.3. | Chestnut Ridge Hydrogeologic Regime | 4-93 |
| | 4.7. | Quality | y Assuranc | e Program | 4-95 |
| | 4.8. | Environ | mental Mo | anagement and Waste Management Activities | 4-97 |
| | | 4.8.1. | Environm | ental Management | 4-97 |
| | | 4.8.2. | Waste M | Nanagement | 4-99 |
| | | | 4.8.2.1. | Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act Waste Disposal | 4-99 |
| | | | 4.8.2.2. | Solid Waste Disposal | 4-99 |
| | | | 4.8.2.3. | Wastewater Treatment | 4-99 |
| | 4.9. | Refere | nces | | 4-101 |
| 5 | Oak R | idge Na | tional Lab | oratory | 5-1 |
| | 5.1. | Descrip | otion of Sit | e, Missions, and Operations | 5-2 |
| | 5.2. | Environ | mental Mo | anagement Systems | 5-4 |
| | | 5.2.1. | UT-Batte | lle Environmental Management System | 5-5 |
| | | | 5.2.1.1. | Integration with the Integrated Safety Management System | 5-5 |
| | | | 5.2.1.2. | UT-Battelle Environmental Policy for ORNL | 5-5 |
| | | | 5.2.1.3. | Environmental Management System Planning | 5-5 |
| | | | 5.2.1.4. | Site Sustainability | 5-7 |
| | | | 5.2.1.5. | Storm Water Management and the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 | 5-12 |
| | | | 5.2.1.6. | Emergency Preparedness and Response | 5-13 |
| | | | 5.2.1.7. | Environmental Management System Performance Evaluation | 5-13 |
| | | 5.2.2. | | ental Management System for the Transuranic Waste Processing | 5-14 |
| | | 5.2.3. | Environm | ental Management System for Isotek | 5-15 |

| 5-165-185-195-215-235-23 |
|------------------------------|
| 5-185-195-215-215-235-23 |
| 5-195-215-215-235-23 |
| 5-21 5-21 5-23 5-23 |
| 5-21 5-23 5-23 5-23 |
| 5-23 5-23 5-23 |
| 5-23 5-23 |
| 5-23 |
| |
| |
| 5-24 |
| 5-24 |
| s 5-24 |
| 5-25 |
| 5-25 |
| 5-25 |
| 5-26 |
| 5-26 |
| lease 5-26 |
| ırce 5-27 |
| 5-27 |
| 5-28 5-28 |
| 5-28 |
| 5-28 |
| |
| 5-29 5-31 |
| 5-31 5-32 |
| 5-32 5-33 |
| 5-33 |
| 5-35 |
| 5-35 |
| 5-36 |
| 5-38 |
| 5-30 5 /1 |
| |

| | 5.5.4. | Mercury | in the White Oak Creek Watershed | 5-47 | | |
|------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|------|--|--|
| | | 5.5.4.1. | Buildings 3592 and 3503 | 5-47 | | |
| | | 5.5.4.2. | Ambient Mercury in Water | 5-48 | | |
| | | 5.5.4.3. | Water Quality Protection Plan Mercury Investigation | 5-50 | | |
| | | 5.5.4.4. | Legacy Outfall Source Investigation | 5-53 | | |
| | | 5.5.4.5. | Baseline Preconstruction Investigation of 207 and 304 Storm Catch Basins | 5-57 | | |
| | 5.5.5. | Storm W | ater Surveillances and Construction Activities | 5-59 | | |
| | 5.5.6. | Biologico | ıl Monitoring | 5-59 | | |
| | | 5.5.6.1. | Bioaccumulation Studies | 5-59 | | |
| | | 5.5.6.2. | Benthic Macroinvertebrate Communities | 5-61 | | |
| | | 5.5.6.3. | Fish Communities | 5-68 | | |
| | 5.5.7. | Polychlor | rinated Biphenyls in the White Oak Creek Watershed | 5-69 | | |
| | 5.5.8. | Oil Pollu | tion Prevention | 5-71 | | |
| | 5.5.9. | Surface ` | Water Surveillance Monitoring | 5-71 | | |
| | 5.5.10 | . Carbon F | Fiber Technology Facility Wastewater Monitoring | 5-73 | | |
| 5.6. | ORNL Groundwater Monitoring Program5- | | | | | |
| | 5.6.1. | | of US Department of Energy Office of Environmental Management vater Monitoring | 5-74 | | |
| | | 5.6.1.1. | Bethel Valley | 5-74 | | |
| | | 5.6.1.2. | Melton Valley | 5-76 | | |
| | 5.6.2. | DOE Off | ice of Science Groundwater Surveillance Monitoring | 5-76 | | |
| | | 5.6.2.1. | Exit Pathway Monitoring | 5-77 | | |
| | | 5.6.2.2. | Active Sites Monitoring—High Flux Isotope Reactor | 5-82 | | |
| | | 5.6.2.3. | Active Sites Monitoring—Spallation Neutron Source | 5-82 | | |
| | | 5.6.2.4. | Emerging Contaminant Assessment–Potential for Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances in ORNL Area Groundwater | 5-86 | | |
| 5.7. | Quality | Quality Assurance Program | | | | |
| | 5.7.1. | Work/Pr | oject Planning and Control | 5-87 | | |
| | 5.7.2. | Personne | l Training and Qualifications | 5-87 | | |
| | 5.7.3. | Equipme | nt and Instrumentation | 5-87 | | |
| | | 5.7.3.1. | Calibration | 5-87 | | |
| | | 5.7.3.2. | Standardization | 5-88 | | |
| | | 5.7.3.3. | Visual Inspection, Housekeeping, and Grounds Maintenance | 5-88 | | |
| | 5.7.4. | Assessme | ent | 5-88 | | |
| | 5.7.5. | Analytica | al Quality Assurance | 5-88 | | |

| | | 5.7.6. | Data Management and Reporting | 5-90 |
|---|-------|--|--|------|
| | | 5.7.7. | Records Management | 5-90 |
| | 5.8. | Environmental Management and Waste Management Activities at ORNL | | |
| | | 5.8.1. | Wastewater Treatment | 5-91 |
| | | 5.8.2. | Newly Generated Waste Management | 5-91 |
| | | 5.8.3. | Transuranic Waste Processing Center | 5-91 |
| | 5.9. | Refere | nces | 5-92 |
| 6 | Oak R | idge Res | servation Environmental Monitoring Program | 6-1 |
| | 6.1. | Meteo | rological Monitoring | 6-2 |
| | | 6.1.1. | Data Collection and Analysis | 6-2 |
| | | 6.1.2. | Results | 6-4 |
| | 6.2. | Ambie | nt Air Monitoring | 6-5 |
| | | 6.2.1. | Data Collection and Analysis | 6-5 |
| | | 6.2.2. | Results | 6-6 |
| | 6.3. | Externo | al Gamma Radiation Monitoring | 6-7 |
| | | 6.3.1. | Data Collection and Analysis | 6-7 |
| | | 6.3.2. | Results | 6-8 |
| | 6.4. | Surfac | e Water Monitoring | 6-9 |
| | | 6.4.1. | Data Collection and Analysis | 6-9 |
| | | 6.4.2. | Results | 6-9 |
| | 6.5. | Ground | dwater Monitoring | 6-11 |
| | | 6.5.1. | Off-Site Groundwater Assessment | 6-11 |
| | | 6.5.2. | Regional and Site-Scale Flow Model | 6-11 |
| | 6.6. | Food | | 6-12 |
| | | 6.6.1. | Hay | 6-12 |
| | | | 6.6.1.1. Data Collection and Analysis | 6-12 |
| | | | 6.6.1.2. Results | 6-12 |
| | | 6.6.2. | Vegetables | 6-12 |
| | | | 6.6.2.1. Data Collection and Analysis | 6-13 |
| | | | 6.6.2.2. Results | 6-13 |
| | | 6.6.3. | Milk | 6-13 |
| | | 6.6.4. | Fish | 6-13 |
| | | | 6.6.4.1. Data Collection and Analysis | 6-15 |
| | | | 6.6.4.2. Results | 6-16 |
| | | 6.6.5. | White-Tailed Deer | 6-16 |

| | | 6.6.6. | Waterfowl | 6-16 |
|---|--------------|----------------|--|--------------|
| | | | 6.6.6.1. Data Collection and Analysis | 6-16 |
| | | | 6.6.6.2. Results | 6-16 |
| | | 6.6.7. | Wild Turkey | 6-16 |
| | 6.7. | Invasiv | re Plant Management | 6-16 |
| | 6.8. | Fire Pr | otection Management and Planning | 6-20 |
| | 6.9. | Quality | y Assurance | 6-22 |
| | 6.10. | Refere | ences | 6-23 |
| 7 | Dose. | ••••• | | 7-1 |
| | <i>7</i> .1. | Radiat | tion Dose | 7-2 |
| | | <i>7</i> .1.1. | Terminology | 7-2 |
| | | 7.1.2. | Methods of Evaluation | 7-2 |
| | | | 7.1.2.1. Airborne Radionuclides | 7-2 |
| | | | 7.1.2.2. Waterborne Radionuclides | 7-9 |
| | | | 7.1.2.3. Radionuclides in Food | <i>7</i> -13 |
| | | | 7.1.2.4. Direct Radiation | 7-16 |
| | | 7.1.3. | Current-Year Summary | 7-16 |
| | | 7.1.4. | Five-Year Trends | <i>7</i> -18 |
| | | <i>7</i> .1.5. | Doses to Aquatic and Terrestrial Biota | 7-18 |
| | | | 7.1.5.1. Aquatic Biota | 7-18 |
| | | | 7.1.5.2. Terrestrial Biota | 7-20 |
| | 7.2. | Chemic | cal Dose | 7-20 |
| | | 7.2.1. | Drinking Water Consumption | <i>7</i> -21 |
| | | | 7.2.1.1. Surface Water | 7-21 |
| | | | 7.2.1.2. Groundwater | 7-22 |
| | | 7.2.2. | Fish Consumption | 7-22 |
| | 7.3. | Refere | ences | 7-24 |

Figures

| Figure | | Page |
|---------------|--|--------------|
| 1 In | stroduction to the Oak Ridge Reservation | |
| 1.1. | Location of the Oak Ridge Reservation in Tennessee | 1-4 |
| 1.2. | Map of the Oak Ridge Reservation | 1-4 |
| 1.3. | Location of Oak Ridge Reservation wetlands | 1-8 |
| 1.4. | American woodcock fledgling on ORR | 1-12 |
| 1.5. | Bald eagle photographed on ORR | 1-12 |
| 1.6. | Purple gallinule caught on a trail surveillance camera at ETTP in 2017 | 1-13 |
| 1 <i>.7</i> . | Aerial view of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory | 1-1 <i>7</i> |
| 1.8. | Aerial view of the Y-12 National Security Complex | 1-19 |
| 1.9. | Aerial view of East Tennessee Technology Park | 1-21 |
| 1.10. | Aerial view of the Environmental Management Waste Management Facility | 1-22 |
| 1.11. | Location of the Oak Ridge National Environmental Research Park | 1-23 |
| 3 E | ast Tennessee Technology Park | |
| 3.1. | The K-25 Site in 1946 | 3-3 |
| 3.2. | East Tennessee Technology Park since the start of decontamination and decommissioning activities in 1991 | 3-3 |
| 3.3. | East Tennessee Technology Park in 2020, showing progress in reindustrialization | 3-4 |
| 3.4. | Pollution prevention recycling activities related to solid waste reduction at the East Tennessee Technology Park in calendar year 2020 | 3-6 |
| 3.5. | Oak Ridge Powerhouse Six Solar Farm | 3-7 |
| 3.6. | East Tennessee Technology Park National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit noncompliances since 2012 | 3-11 |
| 3.7. | East Tennessee Technology Park total on-site ozone-depleting substances inventory, 10-year history | 3-19 |
| 3.8. | East Tennessee Technology Park stationary source greenhouse gas emissions tracking history | 3-22 |
| 3.9. | East Tennessee Technology Park greenhouse gas annual emissions (Scopes 1 and 2, including industrial landfills at Y-12) | 3-23 |
| 3.10. | Fiscal year 2020 East Tennessee Technology Park greenhouse gas emissions by scope | 3-24 |

| 3.11. | East Tennessee Technology Park ambient air monitoring station | 3-25 |
|----------------|---|------|
| 3.12. | East Tennessee Technology Park ambient air monitoring station locations | 3-26 |
| 3.13. | Storm water outfall monitoring | 3-28 |
| 3.14. | K-1200 Complex before decontamination and demolition | 3-32 |
| 3.15. | K-1200 Complex after decontamination and demolition | 3-32 |
| 3.16. | Mercury concentrations at Outfall 180 | 3-34 |
| 3.1 <i>7</i> . | Mercury concentrations at Outfall 190 | 3-34 |
| 3.18. | Mercury concentrations at the K-1700 Weir | 3-35 |
| 3.19. | Mercury concentrations at Outfall 05A-2 | 3-35 |
| 3.20. | The Chromium Water Treatment System | 3-38 |
| 3.21. | Total chromium sample results for the chromium collection system | 3-39 |
| 3.22. | Hexavalent chromium sample results for the chromium collection system | 3-39 |
| 3.23. | Surface water surveillance monitoring | 3-40 |
| 3.24. | East Tennessee Technology Park Environmental Monitoring Program surface water monitoring locations | 3-40 |
| 3.25. | Annual average percentage of derived concentration standards at surface water monitoring locations, 2020 | 3-41 |
| 3.26. | Trichloroethene concentrations in Mitchell Branch | 3-43 |
| 3.27. | Total chromium concentrations in Mitchell Branch | 3-43 |
| 3.28. | East Tennessee Technology Park volatile organic compound and 99Tc plumes | 3-48 |
| 3.29. | Water bodies at the East Tennessee Technology Park | 3-50 |
| 3.30. | Major storm water outfalls and biological monitoring locations on Mitchell Branch | 3-51 |
| 3.31. | Mean total PCB (Top: $\mu g/g$, wet wt; 1993–2020) and mercury (Bottom: $\mu g/g$ wet wt; 2009–2020) concentrations in the soft tissues of caged Asiatic clams deployed in | |
| | Mitchell Branch | 3-54 |
| 3.32. | Total (top panel) and methylmercury (bottom panel) concentrations in the soft tissues of caged Asiatic clams deployed in Mitchell Branch ($\mu g/g$ wet wt; 2009–2020) | 3-55 |
| 3.33. | Mean PCB (top panel) and mercury (bottom panel) concentrations ($\mu g/g$, wet wt) in redbreast sunfish fillets in Mitchell Branch (MIK 0.2) | 3-56 |
| 3.34. | Mean aqueous total PCB concentrations, total suspended solids, and vegetation cover in the K-1007-P1 Pond, 2007–2020 | 3-57 |
| 3.35. | Mean total PCB concentrations (μ g/g, wet wt) in caged clams placed at K-1007-P1 outfalls compared with reference stream clams (Little Sewee Creek), 1993–2020 | 3-58 |
| | | 5 50 |

| 3.36. | Mean PCB concentrations (µg/g, wet wt) in fish from the K-1007-P1 Pond, 2007–2020 | 3-59 |
|-------|---|------|
| 3.37. | Mean (+1 standard error) total PCB concentrations ($\mu g/g$, wet wt) in whole body fish from K1007-P1 Pond, K-901-A Holding Pond, and K-720 Slough, 2009–2020 | 3-61 |
| 3.38. | Mean total PCB concentrations in largemouth bass from the K-901-A Pond and the K-720 Slough | 3-62 |
| 3.39. | Mean total PCB ($\mu g/g$, wet wt; 1993–2020) concentrations in the soft tissues of caged Asiatic clams deployed in the K-901-A Pond for a 4-week period | 3-63 |
| 3.40. | Mean total PCB ($\mu g/g$, wet wt; 2009–2020) concentrations in the fillets of largemouth bass, common carp, and smallmouth buffalo collected from the K-720 Slough | 3-64 |
| 3.41. | Collecting an invertebrate sample using Oak Ridge National Laboratory Biological Monitoring and Abatement Program protocols | 3-66 |
| 3.42. | Sampling for benthic macroinvertebrates with TDEC protocols | 3-66 |
| 3.43. | Mean (± 95% confidence interval) total taxonomic richness (top) and richness of the pollution-intolerant taxa per sample (bottom) for Mitchell Branch sites, April 1987–2020 | 3-69 |
| 3.44. | Mean percent density of pollution-intolerant taxa and of the pollution-tolerant Orthocladiinae midge larvae (Chironomidae) at Mitchell Branch sites, April 1987–2020 | |
| 3.45. | Temporal trends in the TDEC Macroinvertebrate Index (top) and Stream Habitat Index (bottom) scores for four Mitchell Branch sites, August 2008–2020 | 3-71 |
| 3.46. | Mean total taxonomic richness (top) and pollution-intolerant taxa per sample (bottom) for the benthic macroinvertebrate community at Mitchell Branch and reference sites, April 2005–2020 | 3-74 |
| 3.47. | Mean percent density of pollution-intolerant taxa (top) and pollution-tolerant Chironomidae (bottom) in Mitchell Branch, with reference site mean values, April 2005–2020 | 3-75 |
| 3.48. | Construction of lined section of Mitchell Branch, MIK 0.7, in 1998 | 3-76 |
| 3.49. | More recent habitat conditions at Mitchell Branch in 2020 | 3-76 |
| 3.50. | Species richness for the fish communities at sites in Mitchell Branch and in reference streams Mill Branch, Scarboro Creek, and Ish Creek, 1987–2020 | 3-77 |
| 3.51. | Density for the fish communities at sites in Mitchell Branch and in reference streams Mill Branch, Scarboro Creek, and Ish Creek, 1987–2020 | 3-78 |
| 3.52. | Biomass for the fish communities at sites in Mitchell Branch and in reference streams Mill Branch, Scarboro Creek, and Ish Creek, 1987–2020 | 3-78 |
| 3.53. | Sensitive fish species observed in lower Mitchell Branch | 3-79 |
| 3.54. | Changes in the K-1007-P1 Pond fish community from 2007 to 2020 | 3-81 |

| 3.55. | Exhibit at the K-25 History Center | 3-82 |
|--------------|--|------|
| 4 TI | he Y-12 National Security Complex | |
| 4.1. | Age of facilities at Y-12 | 4-3 |
| 4.2. | The Environmental Management System plan-do-check-act cycle of continual improvement | 4-6 |
| 4.3. | Y-12's environment, safety, and health policy | 4-6 |
| 4.4. | Cost efficiencies from Y-12 pollution prevention activities | 4-11 |
| 4.5. | Y-12 pollution prevention initiatives | 4-12 |
| 4.6. | Y-12 recycling results | 4-13 |
| 4.7. | Y-12 energy intensity chart with baseline | 4-14 |
| 4.8. | Water intensity reduction with baseline | 4-18 |
| 4.9. | National Environmental Policy Act—an umbrella law | 4-24 |
| 4.10. | Conceptual layout of Oak Ridge Enhanced Technology and Training Center facilities at the proposed site | 4-27 |
| 4.11. | Proposed location of the Oak Ridge Enhanced Technology and Training Center | 4-28 |
| 4.12. | Proposed Oak Ridge Enhanced Technology and Training Center location and land transfers | 4-29 |
| 4.13. | Artist rendering of proposed Oak Ridge Enhanced Technology and Training Center | 4-30 |
| 4.14. | Biology Complex on the east side of Y-12 | 4-31 |
| 4.15. | Lithium Processing Facility construction footprint | 4-32 |
| 4.16. | Artist rendering of the Lithium Processing Facility | 4-33 |
| <i>4.17.</i> | Horizon Center Industrial Park | 4-33 |
| 4.18. | 103 Palladium Way facility and surrounding 21 acres | 4-34 |
| 4.19. | Path to eliminate Y-12's legacy mixed waste inventory by fiscal year | 4-37 |
| 4.20. | Hazardous waste generation, 2016–2020 | 4-38 |
| 4.21. | Total curies of uranium discharged from Y-12 to the atmosphere, 2015–2020 | 4-46 |
| 4.22. | Locations of ambient air monitoring stations at Y-12 | 4-52 |
| 4.23. | Temporal trends in mercury vapor concentration for boundary monitoring stations at Y-12 | 4-54 |
| 4.24. | Major Y-12 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System outfalls and monitoring locations | 4-57 |
| 4.25. | Surface water and sanitary sewer radiological sampling locations at Y-12 | 4-61 |

| 4.26. | Six-year trend of Y-12 uranium releases to East Fork Poplar Creek | 4-62 |
|-------|---|------|
| 4.27. | Y-12 storm water monitoring locations, East Fork Poplar Creek | 4-64 |
| 4.28. | Surface Water Hydrological Information Support System monitoring locations | 4-65 |
| 4.29. | Biological monitoring sites locations on East Fork Poplar Creek relative to Y-12 | 4-69 |
| 4.30. | Biological monitoring reference sites locations relative to Y-12 | 4-70 |
| 4.31. | Semiannual average mercury concentration in muscle fillets of redbreast sunfish and water from East Fork Poplar Creek, fiscal year 2020 | 4-71 |
| 4.32. | Annual mean concentrations of polychlorinated biphenyls in rock bass muscle fillets, fiscal year 2020 | 4-72 |
| 4.33. | Benthic macroinvertebrate communities in three sites along East Fork Poplar Creek and the 95 percent confidence interval for two nearby reference streams | 4-74 |
| 4.34. | Comparison of mean sensitive species richness collected each year from four sites in East Fork Poplar Creek and a reference site | 4-75 |
| 4.35. | Fish density for two sites in Upper East Fork Poplar Creek and a reference site, | 4-76 |
| 4.36. | Known or potential contaminant source areas where groundwater is monitored at the Y-12 National Security Complex | 4-77 |
| 4.37. | Hydrogeologic regimes, flow directions, perimeter/exit pathway locations, and position of Maynardville Limestone at the Y-12 National Security Complex | 4-78 |
| 4.38. | Groundwater elevation contours and flow directions at the Y-12 National Security Complex | 4-79 |
| 4.39. | Groundwater monitoring well sampling at the Y-12 National Security Complex | 4-81 |
| 4.40. | Nitrate in groundwater at the Y-12 National Security Complex, 2020 | 4-82 |
| 4.41. | Summed volatile organic compounds in groundwater at the Y-12 National Security Complex, 2020 | 4-83 |
| 4.42. | Gross-alpha activity in groundwater at the Y-12 National Security Complex, 2020 | 4-84 |
| 4.43. | Gross-beta activity in groundwater at the Y-12 National Security Complex, 2020 | 4-85 |
| 4.44. | Summed volatile organic compounds for GW-382 and GW-383 in the East Fork regime | 4-85 |
| 4.45. | Summed volatile organic compounds for GW-151 and GW-220 in the East Fork regime | 4-86 |
| 4.46. | Nitrate and gross-beta trends for GW-537 in the Bear Creek regime | 4-89 |
| 4.47. | Volatile organic compounds in GW-627 at the Bear Creek Burial Ground waste management area | 4-90 |
| 4.48. | Volatile organic compounds in GW-082 at the Bear Creek Burial Grounds | 4-91 |

| 4.49. | . Concentrations of selected contaminants in exit pathway monitoring wells in the Bear Creek hydrogeologic regime4 | |
|----------------|---|------|
| 5 0 | ak Ridge National Laboratory | |
| 5.1. | Location of ORNL within ORR and its relationship to other local DOE facilities | 5-3 |
| 5.2. | Carbon Fiber Technology Facility | 5-4 |
| 5.3. | Recent, current (FY 2020), and projected energy use intensity at ORNL | 5-9 |
| 5.4. | Historical and current (FY 2020) water use intensity at ORNL | 5-11 |
| 5.5. | The rare earth recycling process at ORNL | 5-11 |
| 5.6. | Locations of major radiological emission points at ORNL, 2020 | 5-30 |
| 5.7. | Total curies of tritium discharged from ORNL to the atmosphere, 2016–2020 | 5-32 |
| 5.8. | Total curies of ¹³¹ I discharged from ORNL to the atmosphere, 2016–2020 | 5-32 |
| 5.9. | Total curies of ⁴¹ Ar, ¹³⁸ Cs, and ²¹² Pb discharged from ORNL to the atmosphere, 2016–2020 | 5-33 |
| 5.10. | Diagram of the adaptive management framework with step-wise planning specific to the ORNL Water Quality Protection Plan | 5-34 |
| 5.11. | Application of stressor identification guidance to address mercury impairment in the White Oak Creek watershed | 5-35 |
| 5.12. | Selected surface water, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, and reference sampling locations at ORNL, 2020 | 5-44 |
| 5.13. | Outfalls and instream locations at ORNL with average radionuclide concentrations greater than 4 percent of the relevant derived concentration standards in 2020 | 5-45 |
| 5.14. | Cesium-137 discharges at White Oak Dam, 2016-2020 | 5-46 |
| 5.15. | Gross alpha discharges at White Oak Dam, 2016–2020 | 5-46 |
| 5.16. | Gross beta discharges at White Oak Dam, 2016-2020 | 5-46 |
| 5.1 <i>7</i> . | Total radioactive strontium discharges at White Oak Dam, 2016-2020 | 5-46 |
| 5.18. | Tritium discharges at White Oak Dam, 2016–2020 | 5-47 |
| 5.19. | Annual flow volume at White Oak Dam, 2016-2020 | 5-47 |
| 5.20. | Outfalls 211 and 207 and associated storm drain connections that are potential mercury sources | |
| 5.21. | Instream mercury monitoring and data locations, 2020 | |
| 5.22. | Aqueous mercury concentrations at sites in White Oak Creek downstream from ORNL, | |

| 5.23. | . Total mercury concentration in discharges to Outfall X01 from the Sewage Treatment Plant, 2010–20205-5 | | |
|-------|---|------|--|
| 5.24. | Total mercury concentrations and fluxes in Process Waste Treatment Complex discharges to Outfall X12, 2008–2020 | 5-51 | |
| 5.25. | Locations and data for instream sampling sites coordinated with treatment plant sampling | 5-52 | |
| 5.26. | Mercury concentrations and fluxes of treatment plant discharges compared with instream values at WCK 4.4 (no flux available), WCK 3.4, and X15 (White Oak Dam) | 5-53 | |
| 5.27. | Dissolved and total mercury concentrations of legacy outfalls compared to instream (total mercury) values at WCK 4.4, WCK 3.4, and X15 (White Oak Dam) | 5-55 | |
| 5.28. | Outfall 211 dry-weather flow, concentration, and flux 2012–2020 | 5-56 | |
| 5.29. | Outfall 211 storm flow, dissolved and total mercury flux 2015–2020 | 5-56 | |
| 5.30. | Outfall 207 storm flow, dissolved Hg flux, and total Hg flux | 5-57 | |
| 5.31. | Preconstruction surveillance of Outfalls 207 and 304 storm drainage catch basins | 5-58 | |
| 5.32. | Mean mercury concentrations in muscle tissue of sunfish and bass sampled from the White Oak Creek watershed, 1998–2020 | 5-60 | |
| 5.33. | Mean total PCB concentrations in fish sampled from the White Oak Creek watershed, 1998–2020 | 5-61 | |
| 5.34. | Benthic macroinvertebrate communities in First Creek | 5-62 | |
| 5.35. | Benthic macroinvertebrate communities in Fifth Creek | 5-63 | |
| 5.36. | Benthic macroinvertebrate communities in Walker Branch, Melton Branch, and White Oak Creek | 5-64 | |
| 5.37. | Temporal trends in Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation Tennessee Macroinvertebrate Index scores for White Oak Creek watershed streams, August sampling | 5-66 | |
| 5.38. | Fish species richness (number of species) in upper White Oak Creek and lower Melton Branch compared with two reference streams, Brushy Fork and Mill Branch, 1985–2020 | 5-68 | |
| 5.39. | Locations of monitoring points for First Creek source investigation | 5-70 | |
| 5.40. | ORNL surface water sampling locations, 2020 | | |
| 5.41. | UT-Battelle exit pathway groundwater monitoring locations at ORNL, 2020 | 5-78 | |
| 5.42. | Groundwater monitoring locations at the Spallation Neutron Source, 2020 | 5-83 | |
| 5.43. | Simple hydrograph of spring discharge vs. time after initiation of rainfall | 5-84 | |

6 Oak Ridge Reservation Environmental Monitoring Program

| 6.1. | The ORR meteorological monitoring network, including sonic detection and ranging (SODAR) devices | 6-4 |
|--------------|--|------|
| 6.2. | ORR ambient air station | 6-5 |
| 6.3. | Locations of ORR perimeter air monitoring stations | 6-6 |
| 6.4. | External gamma radiation monitoring locations on ORR | 6-8 |
| 6.5. | ORR surface water surveillance sampling locations | 6-10 |
| 6.6. | Fish-sampling locations for the ORR Surveillance Program | 6-14 |
| 6.7. | Map of invasive plant treatment areas on ORR for 2020 | 6-19 |
| 6.8. | Wildland management units on ORR | 6-21 |
| 7 0 | Oose | |
| <i>7</i> .1. | Location of the maximally exposed individual for ORR (2020 data) | 7-5 |
| 7.2. | Nuclides contributing to effective dose at Y-12 Complex, 2020 | 7-6 |
| 7.3. | Nuclides contributing to effective dose at ORNL, 2020 | 7-7 |
| 7.4. | Nuclides contributing to effective dose at ETTP, 2020 | 7-7 |

Tables

| Table | Table | |
|-------|---|------|
| 1 I | ntroduction to the Oak Ridge Reservation | |
| 1.1. | Animal species of special concern reported on the Oak Ridge Reservation | 1-9 |
| 1.2. | Vascular plant species listed by state or federal agencies and sighted or reported on or near the Oak Ridge Reservation | 1-14 |
| 2 (| Compliance Summary and Community Involvement | |
| 2.1. | Applicable environmental laws and regulations and 2020 status | 2-4 |
| 2.2. | Summary of regulatory environmental audits, evaluations, inspections, and assessments conducted at ORR, 2020 | 2-9 |
| 3 E | ast Tennessee Technology Park | |
| 3.1. | Surface contamination values and DOE Order 458.1 authorized limits for surface activity | 3-10 |
| 3.2. | East Tennessee Technology Park environmental permits, 2020 | 3-13 |
| 3.3. | Regulatory oversight, assessments, inspections, and site visits at East Tennessee Technology Park, 2020 | 3-14 |
| 3.4. | Radionuclides in ambient air at East Tennessee Technology Park, January 2020 through December 2020 | 3-20 |
| 3.5. | Radionuclides released to off-site waters from the East Tennnessee Technology Park storm water system in 2020 | 3-28 |
| 3.6. | Analytical results exceeding reference standards from the Outfall 05A-2 sampling effort, February 6, 2020 | 3-29 |
| 3.7. | Analytical results exceeding reference standards from quarterly SWPPP monitoring at Outfall 05A-2, March 3, 2020, May 19, 2020, August 13, 2020, and October 29, 2020 | 3-30 |
| 3.8. | Analytical results exceeding reference standards from Outfall 382 monitoring, February 10, 2020 | 3-30 |
| 3.9. | Analytical results exceeding reference standards from samples collected at Outfall 362, February 11, 2020 | 3-31 |
| 3.10. | Analytical results for monitoring of storm water runoff from building slabs | 3-32 |
| 3.11. | Quarterly NPDES/SWPP Program mercury monitoring results, calendar year 2020 | 3-33 |
| 3 1 2 | Analytical results from mercury sampling at selected storm water outfalls | 3-36 |

| 3.13. | Analytical results exceeding reference standards from samples collected at Powerhouse storm water outfalls | 3-36 |
|-------|---|------|
| 3.14. | Results exceeding reference standards for the Outfall 780 monitoring effort | 3-37 |
| 3.15. | Analytical results from fiscal year 2020 SWPP Program PCB sampling | 3-37 |
| 3.16. | Analytical results from Outfall 690 network sampling, December 14, 2020 | 3-38 |
| 3.17. | East Tennessee Technology Park Site PFAS (PFOA/PFOS) surface water reconnaissance results | 3-44 |
| 3.18. | Average concentrations of total PCBs in fillets and whole-body composites of fish collected in 2020 near the East Tennessee Technology Park | 3-65 |
| 3.19. | Stream sites included in the comparison between Mitchell Branch and other reference sites on the Oak Ridge Reservation | 3-67 |
| 3.20. | Tennessee Macroinvertebrate Index metric values and scores and index score for Mitchell Branch, August 19, 2020 | 3-72 |
| 4 TI | ne Y-12 National Security Complex | |
| 4.1. | Fiscal year 2020 sustainability goals and performance | 4-15 |
| 4.2. | Summary of external regulatory audits and reviews, 2020 | 4-20 |
| 4.3. | Y-12 environmental permits, calendar year 2020 | 4-21 |
| 4.4. | National Nuclear Security Administration-approved categorical exclusions | 4-26 |
| 4.5. | Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act Section 313 toxic chemical release and off-site transfer summary for Y-12, 2019–2020 | 4-39 |
| 4.6. | Summary of materials released, 2020 | 4-41 |
| 4.7. | DOE Order 458.1 preapproved authorized limits | 4-42 |
| 4.8. | Actual versus allowable air emissions from the Y-12 steam plant, 2020 | 4-45 |
| 4.9. | Greenhouse gas emissions from Y-12 stationary fuel combustion sources | 4-49 |
| 4.10. | Data summary for Y-12's Ambient Air Monitoring Program for mercury, calendar year 2020 | 4-53 |
| 4.11. | National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System compliance monitoring requirements and record for Y-12, January–December 2020 | 4-58 |
| 4.12. | Radiological parameters monitored at Y-12, 2020 | 4-60 |
| 4.13. | Summary of Y-12's radiological monitoring plan sample requirements and 2020 results | 4-61 |
| 4.14. | Uranium release from Y-12 to the off-site environment as liquid effluent, 2014–2020 | 4-62 |
| 4.15. | Mercury concentrations at Outfall 014 | 4-64 |
| 4.16. | Y-12 discharge point SS6 | 4-66 |

| <i>4</i> .1 <i>7</i> . | Serial dilutions for whole effluent toxicity testing, as a percent of effluent | 4-67 |
|------------------------|---|------|
| 4.18. | Y-12 biomonitoring program summary information for Outfalls 200 and 135, 2020 | 4-68 |
| 4.19. | Summary of groundwater monitoring at the Y-12 National Security Complex, 2020 | 4-80 |
| 4.20. | Nitrate and uranium concentrations in Bear Creek | 4-90 |
| 4.21. | PFAS concentrations | 4-95 |
| 5 O | ak Ridge National Laboratory | |
| 5.1. | Summary of regulatory environmental audits, evaluations, inspections, and assessments conducted at ORNL, 2020 | 5-15 |
| 5.2. | Environmental permits in effect at ORNL in 2020 | 5-16 |
| 5.3. | National Environmental Policy Act activities, 2020 | 5-18 |
| 5.4. | National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System compliance at ORNL, January through December 2020 | 5-20 |
| 5.5. | ORNL Resource Conservation and Recovery Act operating permits, 2020 | 5-22 |
| 5.6. | Main elements of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act | 5-25 |
| 5.7. | Excess items requested for release and/or recycling, 2020 | 5-27 |
| 5.8. | Radionuclide concentrations measured at ORNL air monitoring Station 7, 2020 | 5-33 |
| 5.9. | Total residual oxidant mitigation summary: Emergency repairs, 2020 | 5-37 |
| 5.10. | Total residual oxidant mitigation summary: Cooling tower outfalls exceeding the total residual oxidant NPDES action level, 2020 | 5-40 |
| 5.11. | Radiological monitoring conducted under the ORNL Water Quality Protection Plan, | 5-43 |
| 5.12. | Tennessee Macroinvertebrate Index metric values, metric scores, and index scores for White Oak Creek, First Creek, Fifth Creek, and Melton Branch, August 15 and 16, 2020 | 5-67 |
| 5.13. | ORNL surface water sampling locations, frequencies, and parameters, 2020 | 5-73 |
| 5.14. | Industrial and commercial user wastewater discharge permit compliance at the ORNL Carbon Fiber Technology Facility, 2020 | 5-73 |
| 5.15. | Exit pathway groundwater monitoring conducted in 2020 | 5-79 |
| 5.16. | Radiological parameters detected in 2020 exit pathway groundwater monitoring | 5-80 |
| 5.1 <i>7</i> . | 2020 Spallation Neutron Source monitoring program schedule | 5-84 |
| 5.18. | Radiological concentrations detected in samples collected at the Spallation Neutron Source during 2020 | 5-85 |

6 Oak Ridge Reservation Environmental Monitoring Program ORR meteorological towers6-3 6.1. 6.2. Radionuclide concentrations at ORR perimeter air monitoring stations, 20206-7 External gamma exposure rate averages for ORR, 2020......6-9 6.3. 6.4. 6.5. Concentrations of radionuclides detected in hay, July 2020 (pCi/kg)......6-13 6.6. 6.7. Tissue concentrations in catfish and sunfish for detected mercury, PCBs, and 6.8. Ten most problematic invasive plants on the ORR6-17 6.9. 7 Dose 7.1. Emission point parameters and receptor locations used in the dose calculations, 2020......7-3 7.2. Meteorological towers and heights used to model atmospheric dispersion from source Calculated radiation doses to maximally exposed individuals from airborne releases 7.3. 7.4. Calculated collective effective doses from airborne releases, 2020......7-6 7.5. Hypothetical effective doses from living near ORR and ETTP ambient air monitoring 7.6. Summary of annual maximum individual (mrem) and collective (person-rem) effective doses from waterborne radionuclides, 20207-13 7.7. Summary of maximum estimated effective doses from ORR activities to an adult by 7.8. Trends in effective dose from ORR activities, 2016–2020 (mrem)......7-18 7.9. Chemical hazard quotients and estimated risks for drinking water from the Clinch River at CRK 23 and 16, 2020.......7-21 Chemical hazard quotients and estimated risks for fish caught and consumed from 7.10.

Appendices

| A. | Glossary | A- 1 |
|----|--|-------------|
| В. | Climate Overview of the Oak Ridge Area | B-1 |
| C. | Reference Standards and Data for Water | C- 1 |
| D. | National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Noncompliance Summaries for 2020 | D- 1 |
| E. | Radiation | E-1 |
| F. | Chemicals | F-1 |
| G. | Radiological Airborne Emissions at Oak Ridge National Laboratory | G-1 |

Acronyms and Abbreviations

ACM asbestos-containing material A **AFFF** aqueous film-forming foams **ANSI** American National Standards Institute **AOEC** Agent Operations Eastern Command **AROD** amended record of decision **ASER** Oak Ridge Reservation Annual Site Environmental Report **AWQC** ambient water quality criterion **BCG** biota concentration guide B **BCK** Bear Creek kilometer BFK Brushy Fork kilometer **BMAP** Biological Monitoring and Abatement Program CAA Clean Air Act C CAP-88 Clean Air Act Assessment Package (software) **CERCLA** Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 CFR Code of Federal Regulations CFTF Carbon Fiber Technology Facility **CNS** Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC **COLEX** column exchange Clinch River kilometer **CRK CROET** Community Reuse Organization of East Tennessee **CWA** Clean Water Act ETTP Chromium Water Treatment System **CWTS** CYcalendar year DCE dichloroethene/dichloroethylene D **DCS** derived concentration standard **DMRQA** Discharge Monitoring Report Quality Assurance Study DOD-ELAP US Department of Defense Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program DOE **US** Department of Energy **DOECAP** DOE Consolidated Audit Program DU depleted uranium Е EΑ environmental assessment EC&P environmental compliance and protection **ECD** Y-12 Environmental Compliance Department ED effective dose **EESP** Energy Efficiency and Sustainability Program

EFK East Fork Poplar Creek kilometer

EFPC East Fork Poplar Creek

EM DOE Office of Environmental Management

EMS environmental management system

EMWMF Environmental Management Waste Management Facility

EO executive order

EPA US Environmental Protection Agency

EPCRA Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act
EPEAT Electronic Product Environmental Assessment Tool
EPSD UT-Battelle Environmental Protection Services Division
EPT ephemeroptera, plecoptera, and trichoptera (taxa)

emergency reciprocating internal combustion engine

ES&H environment, safety, and health

ESPC Energy Savings and Performance Contract
ESS ORNL Environmental Surveillance System

ETTP East Tennessee Technology Park

EU exposure unit

F FCK First Creek kilometer
FFK Fifth Creek kilometer

e-RICE

FMD ORNL Facilities Management Division

FWS US Fish and Wildlife Service

FY fiscal year

G GHG greenhouse gas

H HFIR High Flux Isotope Reactor

HPSB high-performance sustainable building

HQ hazard quotient

HVC ORNL Hardin Valley Campus

| IC₂₅ 25-percent inhibition concentration ISMS integrated safety management system

ISO International Organization for Standardization

Isotek Systems, LLC

LEED Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design

LLW low-level radioactive waste LPF Lithium Processing Facility

M M&E material and equipment

MAPEP Mixed Analyte Performance Evaluation Program

MARSAME Multi-Agency Radiation Survey and Assessment of Materials and

Equipment Manual

MARSSIM Multi-Agency Radiation Survey and Site Investigation Manual

MBK Mill Branch kilometer

MCK McCoy Branch kilometer

MCL maximum contaminant level

MEI maximally exposed individual

MEK Melton Branch kilometer

MIK Mitchell Branch kilometer

MOA memorandum of agreement

MSRE Molten Salt Reactor Experiment

MT meteorological tower

N NAAQS National Ambient Air Quality Standards

NELAP National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program

NEPA National Environmental Policy Act

NESHAPs National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants

NNSA National Nuclear Security Administration

NPDES National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

NPO NNSA Production Office

NRC US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NRHP National Register of Historic Places
NTRC National Transportation Research Center

NWSol North Wind Solutions, LLC

ODS ozone-depleting substance

OLCF Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility

OREM DOE Oak Ridge Office of Environmental Management
ORETTC Oak Ridge Enhanced Technology and Training Center

ORGDP Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant

ORISE Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education

ORNL Oak Ridge National Laboratory

ORO DOE Oak Ridge Office
ORR Oak Ridge Reservation

ORSSAB Oak Ridge Site Specific Advisory Board

OST Office of Secure Transportation

P P2 pollution prevention
PCB polychlorinated biphenyl

PCBADL Polychlorinated Biphenyl Annual Document Log

PCCR phased construction completion report

PCE tetrachloroethene

PFAS per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances

PFOA perfluorooctanoic acid PFOS perfluorooctane sulfonate

 PM_{10} particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter $\leq 10 \ \mu m$

PM_{2.5} fine particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter $\leq 2.5 \ \mu m$

PWTC Process Waste Treatment Complex

Q QA quality assurance
QC quality control

QMS quality management system

R R&D research and development

RA remedial action

Rad-NESHAPs National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for

RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

RMAL Radiochemical Materials Analytical Laboratory

ROD record of decision

RSI Restoration Services, Inc.

S SA supplement analysis

SARA Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
SBMS UT-Battelle Standards-Based Management System

SC DOE Office of Science

SD storm water outfall/storm drain
SNS Spallation Neutron Source
SODAR sonic detection and ranging

SOF sum of fractions

SOP state operating permit

SPCC spill prevention, control, and countermeasures

SSP site sustainability plan
STP sewage treatment plant

SWEIS sitewide environmental impact statement

SWPP storm water pollution prevention
SWPPP storm water pollution prevention plan

SWSA solid waste storage area

TCE trichloroethene/trichloroethylene

TDEC Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation

TEMA Tennessee Emergency Management Agency

TMDL total maximum daily load

TMI Tennessee Macroinvertebrate Index
TRI toxic chemical release inventory

TRO total residue oxidant

TRU transuranic

TSCA Toxic Substances Control Act
TSS total suspended solids
TVA Tennessee Valley Authority

TWPC Transuranic Waste Processing Center

| | TWRA | Tennessee Wildlife Resources Agency |
|---|---|---|
| U | UPF USDA UST UT UT-Battelle | Uranium Processing Facility US Department of Agriculture underground storage tank University of Tennessee UT-Battelle, LLC |
| ٧ | VOC | volatile organic compound |
| W | WBK WCK WEPAR WOC WOD WQC WQPP WRRP | Walker Branch kilometer White Oak Creek kilometer West End Protected Area Reduction White Oak Creek White Oak Dam water quality criterion water quality protection plan Water Resources Restoration Program |
| Υ | Y-12 or Y-12 Complex | Y-12 National Security Complex |

Units of Measure and Conversion Factors*

| Units of measure and their o | ubbuo.cietiono | | |
|------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|------|
| | | • | |
| acre | acre | micrometer millicurie | μm |
| becquerel | Bq | | mCi |
| British thermal unit | Btu | milligram | mg |
| centimeter | cm | milliliter | mL |
| curie | Ci | millimeter | mm |
| day | d °C | million | M |
| degrees Celsius | _ | million gallons per day | MGD |
| degrees Fahrenheit | °F | millirad | mrad |
| disintegrations per minute | dpm | millirem | mrem |
| foot | ft . | milliroentgen | mR |
| gallon | gal | millisievert | mSv |
| gallons per minute | gal/min | minute | min |
| gram | g | nanogram | ng |
| gray | Gy | nephelometric turbidity unit | NTU |
| gross square feet | gsf | parts per billion | ppb |
| hectare | ha | parts per million | ppm |
| hour | h | parts per trillion | ppt |
| inch | in. | picocurie | рСі |
| joule | J | pound | lb |
| kilocurie | kCi | pound mass | lbm |
| kilogram | kg | pounds per square inch | psi |
| kilometer | km | pounds per square inch gauge | psig |
| kilowatt | kW | quart | qt |
| linear feet | LF | rad | rad |
| liter | L | roentgen | R |
| megajoule | MJ | roentgen equivalent man | rem |
| megawatt | MW | second | S |
| megawatt-hour | MWh | sievert | Sv |
| meter | m | standard unit (pH) | SU |
| metric tons | MT | ton, short (2,000 lb) | ton |
| microcurie | μCi | yard | yd |
| microgram | μg | year | yr |

| Quantitative prefixes | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|--------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| exa | × 10 ¹⁸ | atto | × 10 ⁻¹⁸ | | | |
| peta | × 10 ¹⁵ | femto | × 10 ⁻¹⁵ | | | |
| tera | × 10 ¹² | pico | × 10 ⁻¹² | | | |
| giga | × 109 | nano | × 10 ⁻⁹ | | | |
| mega | × 106 | micro | × 10-6 | | | |
| kilo | $\times 10^3$ | milli | × 10 ⁻³ | | | |
| hecto | $\times 10^{2}$ | center | × 10 ⁻² | | | |
| deka | × 10 ¹ | decic | × 10 ⁻¹ | | | |

^{*}Due to differing permit reporting requirements and instrument capabilities, various units of measurement are used in this report. This list of units of measure and conversion factors is intended to help readers make approximate conversions to other units as needed for specific calculations and comparisons.

| н | | ۰. | | | | | • | | |
|----|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|---|---|
| ı. | Jn | 11 | co | n | VP | rs | IO | n | 2 |

| Unit | Conversion | Equivalent | Unit | Conversion | Equivalent |
|-------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Length | | | | | |
| in. | × 2.54 | cm | cm | × 0.394 | in. |
| ft | × 0.305 | m | m | × 3.28 | ft |
| mile | × 1.61 | km | km | × 0.621 | mile |
| Area | | | | | |
| acre | × 0.405 | ha | ha | × 2.47 | acre |
| ft ² | × 0.093 | m ² | m ² | × 10.764 | ft ² |
| mile ² | × 2.59 | km² | km ² | × 0.386 | mile ² |
| Volume | | | | | |
| ft ³ | × 0.028 | m ³ | m ³ | × 35.31 | ft ³ |
| qt (US liquid) | × 0.946 | L | L | × 1.057 | qt (US liquid) |
| gal | × 3.7854118 | L | L | × 0.264172051 | gal |
| Concentration | | | | | |
| ppb | × 1 | µg/kg | µg/kg | × 1 | ppb |
| ppm | × 1 | mg/kg | mg/kg | × 1 | ppm |
| ppb | × 1 | µg/L | µg/L | × 1 | ppb |
| ppm | × 1 | mg/L | mg/L | × 1 | ppm |
| Weight | | | | | |
| lb | × 0.4536 | kg | kg | × 2.205 | lb |
| lbm | × 0.45356 | kg | kg | × 2.2046226 | lbm |
| ton, short | × 907.1847 | kg | kg | \times 0.00110231131 | ton, short |
| Temperature | | | | | |
| °C | $^{\circ}F = (9/5)^{\circ}C + 32$ | °F | °F | $^{\circ}C = (5/9) (F-32)$ | °C |
| Activity | | | | | |
| Bq | \times 2.7 \times 10 ⁻¹¹ | Ci | Ci | \times 3.7 \times 10 ¹⁰ | Bq |
| Bq | × 27 | pCi | pCi | × 0.037 | Bq |
| mSv | × 100 | mrem | mrem | × 0.01 | mSv |
| Sv | × 100 | rem | rem | × 0.01 | Sv |
| nCi | × 1,000 | pCi | pCi | × 0.001 | nCi |
| mCi/km² | × 1 | nCi/m² | nCi/m² | × 1 | mCi/km^2 |
| dpm/L | $\times 0.45 \times 10^{9}$ | $\mu \text{Ci}/\text{cm}^3$ | $\mu \text{Ci}/\text{cm}^3$ | \times 2.22 \times 10 9 | dpm/L |
| pCi/L | × 10-9 | μCi/mL | µCi/mL | × 10 ⁹ | pCi/L |
| pCi/m³ | × 10 ¹² | µCi/cm³ | $\mu Ci/cm^3$ | × 10 ¹² | pCi/m³ |

Acknowledgments

The US Department of Energy (DOE) is responsible for producing this document. DOE acknowledges with deep appreciation the efforts of the following individuals who provided valuable resources, information, and technical data and management, administrative, field, or other support.

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

Betsy Brucken
David Buhl
Mark Cleveland
Kim Cole
Kevin Crow

Steve Foster
Justin Frazer
Sidney Garland
Sherry Gibson
Steve Goodpasture
Matthew Hagenow
Crystal Hann

Amber Harshman Kevin Ironside Charles Justice Kathy Kelley Richard Ketelle Jeff Maddox Terry Mathews Jeff Murphy Tammy Phillips Tony Poole

Sidney Garland

Annette Primrose Rebecca Rogers Gill Sallade Cheryl Sayler Eileen Shea Alison Terebush Natasha Thomsen

Wesley White

Steve Wood

ORNL

Karen Atchely Amy Albaugh Carrie Barber Gio Barton

Brenda Becker-Khaleel

Max Bertram

Kevin Birdwell
Betsy Brucken
Greg Byrd
Gary Chadwick
Sara Cornwell
Sara Darling
Kathleen Davidson
Sharon Deane
Allison Fortner
Rich Franco
Taylor Frye
Neil Giffen
Wes Goddard
Mark Goins
Scott Gregory
Natalie Griffiths

Natalie Griffiths
Julia Hancock
Amber Harshman
Jamie Herold
Hurtis Hodges
Joan Hughes
Trent Jett
Nikki Jones
Richard Ketelle
Jessica Langstaff
Jeff Maddox

Teresa Mathews Bill McCarter Kitty McCracken Susan Michaud Jesse Morris Lori Muhs Todd North Ernest Ryan

Paul Mateson

Denise Saunders
David Skipper
George Stephens
Searia Stephenson
Louise Stevenson
Tina Summers

Merlin Theodore Steve Trotter Elizabeth Wright

Y-12 COMPLEX

Vicki Brumback Mark Burdette Sara Cornwell Laura Cunningham Jennifer Dixon Stan Duke **Howell Estes** Steve Field Matthew Frost Paisley Gunter Kim Hanzelka William C. Hurst Steven Jones Kris Kinder Stacey Klidzejs Michelle Kunz Jennifer Linton Wesley Long Stacey Loveless Terry Mathews Kieran McMahon Jane Nations Terry Nore Elizabeth Owens **Aprell Patterson** Tony Poole Annette Primrose Sandra Reagan Paula Roddy Beth Schultz Stephen Shults **Brad Skaggs** Johnny Skinner Adam Smith James Stinnett

Logan Ward

Larissa Welch

Eve Whittenburg

Rebekah Young

Jeannette Widman

In Memoriam



Photo courtesy of DOE

Lynn Freeny
1958 – 2021

Lynn Freeny grew up in East Tennessee, and was hired in 1992 as the third official DOE photographer for Oak Ridge. A familiar sight with his oversized camera bag slung over his shoulder, he documented newsworthy events such as visits from presidents and other dignitaries, groundbreaking and ribboncutting ceremonies, and important DOE milestones. He also captured tranquil scenes of wildlife and native plants, beautiful sunrises, and other everyday views of nature on the Oak Ridge Reservation; many have been included in the Oak Ridge Reservation Annual Site Environmental Reports over the years. Lynn used his passion for photography to tell the story of Oak Ridge through his expertly composed images. He not only chronicled events at Oak Ridge during his tenure, he was the acknowledged authority on the extensive collection of Manhattan Project photos taken by DOE's first Oak Ridge photographer, Ed Westcott. Lynn posted many of Westcott's images online, and often produced just the right photograph for an article, display, or document about Oak Ridge's impressive and unique history. As Ken Tarcza, manager of DOE's Office of Science Consolidated Service Center in Oak Ridge stated, "He was an artist as much as a photographer and captured so much

more than an image when he pushed the shutter on a camera. Lynn's role in documenting both current and historic Oak Ridge activity cannot be understated. His passion for photography drove him to memorialize the broad, ongoing initiatives being undertaken by DOE in Oak Ridge, and his legacy will live on for years to come."



Photo courtesy of Suzy Peterson

Mark Peterson 1961 – 2020

Mark Peterson was born and raised in a small town near Chicago, and his lifelong love of all things aquatic began with the fishing trips he took with his dad on the great northern lakes. Early on, Mark decided to make understanding and protecting these ecosystems his life's work. After earning his Master's degree in Aquatic Ecology he moved to Oak Ridge and began his career with ORNL's Environmental Sciences Division, where he would remain for the rest of his life. He helped develop the Aquatic Ecology Laboratory into the world-class facility that it is today and helped guide its missions, both in research and in compliance and conservation. Although Mark was involved in DOE projects across the country, he is best known for his work here in Oak Ridge. Active in the field and in the lab, Mark mapped and documented aquatic resources such as wetlands all across the Oak Ridge Reservation. He was instrumental in the major upgrade of the Aquatic Ecology Lab. He worked with DOE and regulators such as TDEC and EPA to develop and manage the biological monitoring and abatement programs at the three main ORR sites, and he pioneered innovative remediation techniques to protect the environment while maximizing the return on the investment of resources.

And, of course, when there were fish to be collected, Mark made every effort to be in on the action. Mark's love of nature and the great outdoors was evident throughout his career, and he was proud to devote his life to protecting and restoring natural resources for the use and enjoyment of all.